LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO 1 Dale K. Galipo, SBN 144074 (lead counsel) dalekgalipo@yahoo.com Marcel F. Sincich (SBN 319508) msincich@galipolaw.com 21800 Burbank Boulevard, Suite 310 Woodland Hills, California 91367 Tel: (818) 347-3333 4 5 Fax: (818) 347-4118 THE SEHAT LAW FIRM, PLC Cameron Sehat, Esq. (SBN 256535) cameron@sethatlaw.com 5100 Campus Dr., Suite 200 Newport Beach, CA 92660 Tel: (949) 825-5200 Fax: (949) 313-5001 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff MARIBEL MURILLO 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 13 Case No.: 2:22-cv-03188 DMG (SKx) MARIBEL MURILLO, individually 14 and as successor-in-interest of the [Honorable Dolly M. Gee] 15 estate of deceased, JONATHAN Magistrate Judge Steve Kim MURILLO-NIX, 16 NOTICE OF LOCAL RULE 79-Plaintiff, 5.2.2(b) *EX PARTE* APPLICATION 17 AND`*EX PARTE* APPLICATION VS. FOR AN ORDER DENYING 18 LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF 19 PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO governmental entity; JESUS DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS FOR MARTINEZ, individually; KYLE 20 SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND TO GRIFFIN, individually; and DOES 1-ORDER THAT EXHIBITS MAY BE 21 10, inclusive, FILED REGULARLY 22 [LOCAL RULE 79-5.2.2(b)] Defendants. 23 [Declaration of Marcel F. Sincich, Notice of Manuel Filing, and 24 [Proposed] Order *filed concurrently* herewith] 25 MSJ Date: December 15, 2023 26 9:30 a.m. Time: Judge: Hon. Dolly M. Gee 27 Courtroom 8C, 8th Floor 28

PLAINTIFF'S EXPARTE APPLICATION REGARDING EXHIBITS IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

## TO THIS HONORABLE COURT AND TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff MARIBEL MURILLO, through Plaintiff's counsel Marcel F. Sincich of the Law Offices of Dale K. Galipo, will and hereby does seek and order from this Court denying leave to file, under seal, Plaintiff's Exhibits attached to the Declaration of Marcel F. Sincich in support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants Motions for Summary Judgment, and for an order granting Plaintiff leave to file said exhibits regularly.

This is a civil rights police shooting-death case. Defendant City of Los Angeles marked documents that it produced during discovery as "Confidential." As such, they are governed by the operative Protective Order in this case (Doc. 35), which is intended to shield these documents from public view. In order to comply with the Protective Order, Plaintiff hereby makes this request for the Court to consider whether the following documents shall be filed under seal: (1) the first Statement of Defendant Officer Kyle Griffin; (2) the second Statement of Defendant Officer Kyle Griffin; (3) the first Statement of Defendant Officer Jesus Martinez; (4) the second Statement of Defendant Officer Jesus Martinez; (5) the Statement of involved Sgt. Francisco Alferez; (6) the Statement of involved Officer Nicholas Knolls; (7) the Statement of involved Officer Eric Schlesinger; (8) the Statement of involved Officer Daniel Frazer; (9) the Statement of involved Officer Georgiy Tykhomyrov; (10) the Statement of involved Officer Joshua Carlos; (11) the Statement of involved Officer Luis Lopez; (12) the Statement of involved Officer Marcos Gutierrez; (13) the Statement of involved Officer Sabrina Martinez; (14) the Statement of involved Officer Michael Proni; (15) the Statement of involved Officer Eduardo Piche; (16) the Autopsy Report of Decedent Murillo; (17) the Los Angeles Force Investigation Division Report; (18) photographs of officer weapons; and (19) scene photographs.

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The above list of documents is cited in Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgement and are necessary to this Court's summary judgment ruling. Respectfully submitted, Date: November 22, 2023 LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO /s Marcel F. Sincich Dale K. Galipo Marcel F. Sincich Attorneys for Plaintiff 

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

**APPLICATION TO FILE UNDER SEAL** 

Pursuant to L.R. 79-5.2.2(b), Plaintiff MARIBEL MURILLO, individually and as successors in interest to Decedent JONATHAN MURILLO-NIX, hereby respectfully submit her application to file under seal the following documents, attached as exhibits to the Declaration of Marcel F. Sincich in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment: (1) the first Statement of Defendant Officer Kyle Griffin; (2) the second Statement of Defendant Officer Kyle Griffin; (3) the first Statement of Defendant Officer Jesus Martinez; (4) the second Statement of Defendant Officer Jesus Martinez; (5) the Statement of involved Sgt. Francisco Alferez; (6) the Statement of involved Officer Nicholas Knolls; (7) the Statement of involved Officer Eric Schlesinger; (8) the Statement of involved Officer Daniel Frazer; (9) the Statement of involved Officer Georgiy Tykhomyrov; (10) the Statement of involved Officer Joshua Carlos; (11) the Statement of involved Officer Luis Lopez; (12) the Statement of involved Officer Marcos Gutierrez; (13) the Statement of involved Officer Sabrina Martinez; (14) the Statement of involved Officer Michael Proni; (15) the Statement of involved Officer Eduardo Piche; (16) the Autopsy Report of Decedent Murillo; (17) the Los Angeles Force Investigation Division Report; (18) photographs of officer weapons; and (19) scene photographs. The basis for seeking this request is that documents subject to the Protective Order are necessary to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motions for Summary

The basis for seeking this request is that documents subject to the Protective Order are necessary to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment (Doc. 44), which was filed on November 3, 2023. Plaintiff's Oppositions are due on November 24, 2023 as 21 days prior to the hearing but will be filed on November 22, 2023, due to the court's holiday schedule.

These documents have been designated by Defendants and the Los Angeles District Attorney's Office as confidential pursuant to the protective order entered by Magistrate Judge Steve Kim on June 9, 2023 (Doc. 35). Defendants and LADA designated these documents as "Confidential Pursuant to Protective Order."

1 **Local Rule 7-19 Compliance** Prior to filing this ex parte application, Plaintiff's counsel contacted Defense 2 counsel, in compliance with Local Rule 7-19 through 7-19.1. (See Sincich Decl. ¶¶ 3 4 2-4. 5 Defense counsel are: Kevin E. Gilbert, Esq. (SBN: 209236) 6 7 kgilbert@ohhlegal.com 8 Carolyn M. Aguilar, Esq. (SBN: 289550) 9 caguilar@ohhlegal.com 10 ORBACH HUFF + HENDERSON LLP 6200 Stoneridge Mall Road, Suite 225 11 Pleasanton, California 94588 12 13 Telephone: (510) 999-7908 Facsimile: (510) 999-7918 14 Attorneys for Defendants 15 OFFICER JESUS MARTINEZ and OFFICER KYLE GRIFFIN 16 17 HYDEE FELDSTEIN SOTO, City Attorney 18 DENISE C. MILLS, Chief Deputy City Attorney (SBN 191992) 19 SCOTT MARCUS, Chief Assistant City Attorney (SBN 184980) 20 CORY M. BRENTE, Senior Assistant City Attorney (SBN 115453) 21 CHRISTIAN R. BOJORQUEZ, Deputy City Attorney (SBN 192872) 22 200 N. Main Street, 6th Floor, City Hall East 23 Los Angeles, California 90012 24 Tel: (213) 978-7023; Fax: (213) 978-8785 25 Email: christian.bojorquez@lacity.org 26 Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF LOS ANGELES 27 28

Counsel for the Los Angeles District Attorney's Office: 2 Tomas A. Guterres 3 COLLINS + COLLINS, LLP T: 626-243-1100 4 5 790 E. Colorado Boulevard, Suite 600 Pasadena, CA 91101 6 7 tguterres@ccllp.law 8 In advance of Plaintiff's Application, counsel for Plaintiff and counsel for the LADA, and Defendants Martinez and Griffin (on behalf of the "joint Defendants") 9 10 corresponded regarding Plaintiff's intention to file several exhibits that are subject to the Protective Order. Attorneys for the City of Los Angeles did not respond 11 directly. Plaintiff's counsel requested from Defendants' counsel an agreement to lift 12 13 the confidential designation, and if not, what specific information requires secrecy so that the parties can discuss redaction. Sincich Decl. ¶2. 14 15 Counsel for the LADA deferred the designation to the City as the documents in question are City documents involving LAPD officers. Presumably because of 16 this Court's Order previously ruling that videos of the incident should be filed 17 18 regularly and not under seal (Doc. 47), Defendants agreed that videos do not been to 19 be filed under seal. However, Defendants' position is that involved officer 20 statements, the FID Report, the autopsy report, and evidence photographs "need to 21 be filed under seal since they contain confidential and privileged information – 22 including implicating the privacy rights of third parties." Plaintiff's counsel 23 responded specifying exactly which photographs and which officer statements are of 24 issue and requested a list of all information within that contains confidential or privileged information so that the parties can discuss redaction. Defense counsel 25 replied that the "officer statements, FID and Autopsy reports are all confidential and 26 need to remain sealed, especially as they implicate third-party privacy rights and 27 28 also include confidential personnel information related to officers that did not use

 $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ 

lethal force." Defense counsel did not provide a single citation of confidential of privileged information not related to the use of force for Plaintiff's counsel to redact. It is Plaintiff's understanding that Defendants will oppose this Application given that Plaintiff requests these documents to be filed regularly. Sincich Decl. ¶3.

Plaintiff files this application pursuant to L.R. 79-5.2.2(b) on an *ex parte* basis because these exhibits are necessary to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment, and by the time Plaintiff received Defendants' Motion and determined what exhibits to use in opposition, there was insufficient time in advance of the November 24, 2023 opposition due date or December 15, 2023 summary judgment hearing for this application to be heard as a regularly noticed motion. *Id.* at ¶4.

Plaintiff's counsel provided defense counsel with a copy of the Declaration of Marcel F. Sincich pursuant to L.R. 79-5.2.2(b). *See* Sincich Decl. at ¶11.

Plaintiff contends that these statements of the involved officers, the City investigatory report, the autopsy report, and photographs are all directly relate to this civil right officer-involved shooting death case and necessary to oppose dispositive motions. Plaintiff contends that these documents are not confidential, are not privileged (to which Defendants have any standing to assert), and that nothing in these documents require secrecy from the public on these public issues. Further, these documents should not be hidden from the public because they establish the disputed issues of material fact that preclude Defendants from summary judgment.

For the reasons set forth in the Declaration of Marcel F. Sincich in Support of Application for Leave to File Under Seal Exhibits in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, filed concurrently herewith, **Plaintiff respectfully request that this Court DENY any request to file said exhibits under seal, and order that these documents be filed regularly through the Court's CM/ECF system**.

Respectfully submitted, Date: November 22, 2023 LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO /s Marcel F. Sincich Dale K. Galipo Marcel F. Sincich Attorneys for Plaintiffs